



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,054	07/23/2001	Mayumi Tomikawa	522.1921D	4839
21171	7590	07/13/2007	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MORAN, MARJORIE A	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1631				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/13/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/910,054	TOMIKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie Moran	1631

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Marjorie Moran.

(3) _____.

(2) DJ Stockley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Stockley referred to the Notice of Allowability mailed 5/17/07, with regard to priority, and pointed out that 2/6/1993 was a Saturday, thus the claim for priority is proper as the US application was filed on the next possible business day. The examiner agreed with the attorney; 2/6/1992 was a Thursday, but 2/6/1993 was indeed a Saturday. The examiner stated that the priority claim is proper and will be granted upon filing of the certified copies of the foreign applications.